

REMARKS

Claim 2 and 16 have been canceled

Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8, 11-13 and 19-20 have been amended.

Claims 1, 3-15 and 17-20 are pending.

Drawings

The Office Action stated that Figure 1 is missing. Applicant submits that this is the first time that Figure 1 has been said to be missing. Although Applicant takes the position that Figure 1 was properly filed, Applicant has submitted Figure 1 as suggested in the Office Action.

Claim Rejections


35 U.S.C. §102

The Office Action rejected Claims 1 and 3-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Golden et al (US Patent No. 5,761,648). In a Telephonic Interview on March 3, 2005, Attorney for Applicant Greg O'Bradovich and Examiner Champagne discussed the potential allowability of the Claims with the incorporation of the limitation of the use of a cookie that stores serial numbers related to the promotion and the additional computer, which Examiner Champagne indicated was not taught in Golden. Attorney for Applicant agreed that no such limitation existed in Golden and that support for the limitation is found on page 10 and page 14 (as well as other locations) of the specification. Applicant

had also claimed the cookie in now canceled Claim 16. The Claims have been amended to incorporate the unique cookie that not only stores serial numbers but can communicate directly with the first computer through queries. As such, Applicant submits that the rejection based on Golden is now moot.

If Examiner has any questions regarding this document, Applicant asks that Examiner contact the undersigned immediately by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Greg O'Bradovich", written over a horizontal line.

Greg O'Bradovich
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 42,945

GREG O'BRADOVICH, P.C.
295 Culver Street, Suite A
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30045
(770) 995-8877